



## Application Note AN-S-375

# Fluoride in sodium fluoride for pharmaceutical use

## Method validation according to the U.S. Pharmacopeia

Dental care products like toothpaste often contain sodium fluoride to support tooth enamel remineralization and prevent dental cavities (caries) [1]. The WHO recommends 1000–1500 mg/L fluoride in toothpaste for adults to prevent tooth decay [2]. Manufacturers use the United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary (USP-NF) Monograph «Sodium Fluoride» to quantify sodium fluoride and its anionic contaminants chloride and acetate in dental care products [3].

The validated USP method proposes ion chromatography (IC) with suppressed conductivity

detection to carry out the fluoride assay as well as the impurity determination in a single chromatogram [3]. The demonstrated IC method uses the Metrosep A Supp 16 - 250/4.0 (L91) column and a hydroxide eluent, complying with all parameters given in the USP Monograph «Sodium Fluoride» [3]. It provides excellent separation of fluoride, acetate, and chloride, and fulfills all acceptance criteria of the Monograph. The IC method has been validated according to USP General Chapters <621> Chromatography [4] and <1225> Validation of Compendial Procedures [5].

## STANDARD AND SAMPLE PREPARATION

The standard solutions and the system suitability solutions are prepared from the respective 1000 µg/mL certified standards by dilution with ultrapure water (UPW).

For the fluoride assay, the standard solution is obtained by diluting a sodium fluoride solution to 2 µg/mL. The system suitability solution contains 2 µg/mL sodium fluoride and 1 µg/mL sodium acetate. For the impurity test, the standard solution consists of 0.2 µg/mL sodium chloride in UPW. The system suitability solution for the impurity test contains 1

mg/mL sodium fluoride and 1 µg/mL sodium chloride in UPW.

Sample analyses are performed with a solution prepared from commercially available sodium fluoride salt. The sample solution is prepared by dissolving and diluting sodium fluoride salt with UPW to a nominal concentration of 2 µg/mL which corresponds to 0.9 µg/mL fluoride (for the assay). For the impurity test, samples were diluted to a nominal concentration of 1 µg/mL sodium fluoride.

No additional sample preparation is required.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Samples and standard solutions were directly injected

into the IC using a 919 IC Autosampler plus (Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** Instrumental setup including a 930 Compact IC Flex, 919 IC Autosampler plus, and an 800 Dosino for automatic regeneration of the Metrohm Suppressor Module (MSM).

Fluoride was separated from acetate and chloride using a potassium hydroxide eluent and the column Metrosep A Supp 16 with column material L91 (Table 1). The analytes were quantified by evaluating their

conductivity signal after chemical suppression. The calibration was performed using a single 2.0 µg/mL standard injected six times. The sample was analyzed in duplicate.

**Table 1.** Requirements for the IC method as per USP Monograph «Sodium Fluoride» [3].

| Column with L91 packing | Metrosep A Supp 16 - 250/4.0  |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Eluent                  | 15 mmol/L potassium hydroxide |
| Flow rate               | 1.0 mL/min                    |
| Temperature             | 40 °C                         |
| Injection volume        | 20 µL                         |
| Detection               | Conductivity with suppression |

## RESULTS

The IC assay for fluoride content was validated according to USP Monograph «Sodium Fluoride» [3]. Suitability requirements for resolution, tailing factor,


and relative standard deviation were fulfilled (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Suitability requirements for the assay.

| Parameter (assay)                  | Actual | USP requirement | Status |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| Resolution F <sup>-</sup> /acetate | 5.9    | NLT 1.5         | Pass   |
| Tailing factor                     | 1.1    | NMT 2.0         | Pass   |
| RSD fluoride (% , n=5)             | 0.52   | NMT 0.73        | Pass   |

The chromatographic resolution between fluoride and acetate is shown in Figure 2. The recovery of

fluoride for the sample analysis (99.7%) was within the USP acceptance criteria (98–102%).



**Figure 2.** Chromatogram of the system suitability solution for the assay with 2.0 µg/mL sodium fluoride and 1.0 µg/mL sodium acetate.

Regarding the impurity tests for potential contamination with chloride, the IC method showed


excellent compliance with the USP requirements (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Suitability requirements for the impurities in sodium fluoride.

| Parameter (impurity)                       | Actual | USP requirement | Status |
|--------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| Resolution F <sup>-</sup> /Cl <sup>-</sup> | 7.7    | NLT 4           | Pass   |
| RSD fluoride (%; n=5)                      | 4.2    | NMT 5           | Pass   |
| S/N ratio Cl <sup>-</sup>                  | >740   | NLT 20          | Pass   |

Figure 3 shows the chromatographic resolution

between fluoride and chloride.



**Figure 3.** Chromatogram of the system suitability solution for the impurity chloride. The solution contained 1 mg/mL sodium fluoride and 1  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  sodium chloride. The peaks are well resolved, and the signal-to-noise ratio for chloride was  $>740$  (a value of more than 20 is required).

In all tested samples, the chloride content was well below the acceptance criteria of 0.012% (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Results of the chromatograms shown in Figures 2 and 3.

| Anion      | Sample ID | Result [%] | USP Limit [%] |
|------------|-----------|------------|---------------|
| 1 Fluoride | Assay     | 99.7       | 98–102        |
| 2 Chloride | Impurity  | 0.0016     | $\leq 0.012$  |

## RESUMO

The presented IC method is suitable to determine sodium fluoride and its impurities according to the USP Monograph «Sodium Fluoride». The method

helps manufacturers of dental care products to determine fluoride content as well as impurities more easily in toothpaste.

## REFERENCES

1. Yeung, C. A. A Systematic Review of the Efficacy and Safety of Fluoridation. *Evid Based Dent* **2008**, 9 (2), 39–43.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ebd.6400578>.
2. WHO. *A. 14 Fluoride Toothpaste – Dental Caries*; Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Application review; WHO, 2021.
3. *Sodium Fluoride*; Monograph; U.S. Pharmacopeia/National Formulary: Rockville, MD.  
[https://doi.org/10.31003/USPNF\\_M76470\\_04\\_01](https://doi.org/10.31003/USPNF_M76470_04_01).
4. *621 Chromatography*; General Chapter; U.S. Pharmacopeia/National Formulary: Rockville, MD.  
[https://doi.org/10.31003/USPNF\\_M99380\\_01\\_01](https://doi.org/10.31003/USPNF_M99380_01_01).
5. *1225 Validation of Compendial Procedures*; General Chapter; U.S. Pharmacopeia/National Formulary: Rockville, MD.  
[https://doi.org/10.31003/USPNF\\_M99945\\_04\\_01](https://doi.org/10.31003/USPNF_M99945_04_01).

## CONTACT

Metrohm Portugal  
R. Frei Luis de Granada 14G  
1500-680 Lisboa

[vendas@metrohm.pt](mailto:vendas@metrohm.pt)